

Supplementary material

Diversity and abundance of epibiota on invasive and native estuarine gastropods depend on substratum and salinity

Jakob Thyrring^{A,E}, Mads Solgaard Thomsen^{B,C}, Ane Kirstine Brunbjerg^D and Thomas Wernberg^C

^AArctic Research Centre, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, C. F. Møllers Allé 8, building 1110, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.

^BMarine Ecology Research Group, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand.

^CUWA Oceans Institute and School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia, Hackett Drive, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia.

^DSchool of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

^ECorresponding author. Email: thyrring@bios.au.dk

Table S1. Effective fetch at the 13 different sites

Location	Area	Exposure
Gilbert Fraser	Outer	0.40
Leeuwin	Outer	0.16
Chidley Point	Outer	0.35
Freshwater Bay	Outer	3.49
Mills Point	Inner	4.97
Matilda Bay	Inner	2.60
Como	Inner	4.88
Point Resolution	Central	1.15
J.H Abrahams	Central	2.04
Point Walter	Central	2.63
Charles Court	Central	4.06
Heathcote	Central	3.89
Jeff Joseph	Central	3.32

Table S2. Factorial ANOVA results of shell-size difference between *habitats*, *water depth* and different *salinity* regions with *wave exposure* as co-variate

Shell dimension ~Habitat + Salinity + Depth + Wave exposure				
	d.f.	SS	F value	P
Shell type: Bat–Gra+				
Habitat	1	0.3	0.378	0.539
Salinity	2	1.4	0.868	0.42
Depth	1	0	0.059	0.809
Wave exposure	1	0.1	0.074	0.785
Residuals	559	450.1		
Shell type: Bat–Gra–				
Habitat	1	1.4	0.453	0.501
Salinity	2	8.3	1.347	0.261
Depth	1	0.4	0.134	0.714
Wave exposure	1	0.5	0.149	0.7
Residuals	654	2002.7		
Shell type: Bat–Small				
Habitat	1	1	0.444	0.5064
Salinity	1	5.4	2.331	0.1291
Depth	1	11.1	4.817	0.0798
Wave exposure	1	0.7	0.309	0.5794
Residuals	141	325.6		
Shell type: Bat–Hermit				
Habitat	1	3.4	1.077	0.2998
Salinity	2	14.6	2.349	0.0965
Depth	1	3.2	1.026	0.3116
Wave exposure	1	1.6	0.521	0.4708
Residuals	484	1507		
Shell type: Bat–Empty				
Habitat	1	0.4	0.138	0.711
Salinity	2	4.1	0.628	0.534
Depth	1	0.5	0.157	0.692
Wave exposure	1	3.2	1.003	0.317
Residuals	485	1569.4		
Shell type: Bed				
Habitat	1	0.3	0.378	0.539
Salinity	2	1.4	0.868	0.42
Depth	1	0	0.059	0.809
Wave exposure	1	0.1	0.074	0.785
Residuals	559	450.1		
Shell type: Nas				
Habitat	1	0.3	0.378	0.539
Salinity	2	1.4	0.868	0.42
Depth	1	0	0.059	0.809
Wave exposure	1	0.1	0.074	0.785
Residuals	559	450.1		

Table S3. Mean length (mm), width (mm), shell surface (cm²) and shell dimension (mm) of the three dominant gastropods living in the Swan River Estuary

Shell surface calculated after Thomsen *et al.* (2010). *B. australis* was found to be significantly larger than *B. paiva* and *N. pauperatus*. All values presented are \pm standard error

Snail species	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Shell dimension (mm ²)	P (shell dimension)	Shell area (cm ²)
<i>B. australis</i> (n = 50)	29.5 \pm 7.76	13.23 \pm 2.13	1.63 \pm 0.17	Bat – Nas <0.001	7.25
<i>B. paiva</i> (n = 50)	21.8 \pm 3.03	11.54 \pm 1.93	1.41 \pm 0.15	Bed – Bat <0.001	5.04
<i>N. pauperatus</i> (n = 50)	9.8 \pm 1.13	5.03 \pm 0.76	0.66 \pm 0.10	Bed – Nas <0.001	1.06

Table S4. Final model: *Ralfsia* sp. abundance ~Shell type + Salinity + Wave exposure

	d.f.	Deviance	AIC	LRT	Pr (>Chi)
<none>		1960.8	12392		
Shell type	6	2012.3	12431	51.503	2.348 ⁻⁹
Salinity	2	2006.3	12433	45.586	1.262 ⁻¹⁰
Wave exposure	1	967	12396	6.222	0.01262
Deviance residuals:					
	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-1.0044	-0.8754	-0.8269	-0.0329	1.9347
Coefficients:					
	Estimate	s.e.	z value	Pr (> z)	
(Intercept)	0.8652	0.1846	4.688	2.76 ⁻⁰⁶	
Shell type (Bat–Gra+)	0.6309	0.2133	2.957	0.0031	
Shell type (Bat–Gra–)	0.4349	0.1993	2.183	0.02906	
Shell type (Bat–Hermit)	0.3702	0.2119	1.746	0.08073	
Shell type (Bat–Small)	-1.1482	0.323	-3.555	0.00038	
Shell type (Bed)	-0.7531	0.2263	-3.328	0.00087	
Shell type (Nas)	0.2379	0.205	1.16	0.246	
Salinity (Mid)	0.4472	0.1606	2.784	0.00537	
Salinity (West)	1.2275	0.1895	6.476	9.41 ⁻¹¹	
Wave exposure (Protected)	0.3471	0.1321	2.628	0.00858	

Table S5. Final model: *Membranipora* sp. abundance ~Shell type + Salinity + Wave exposure

	d.f.	Deviance	AIC	LRT	Pr (>Chi)
<none>	555.15	3809.9			
Shell type	6	596.12	3838.9	40.974	2.93 ⁻⁷
Salinity	2	581.89	3832.7	26.74	1.56 ⁻⁶
Wave exposure	1	568.77	3821.5	13.621	0.00022
Deviance residuals:					
	Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
	-0.5046	-0.4405	-0.3918	-0.3215	2.1751
Coefficients:					
	Estimate	s.e.	z value	Pr (> z)	
(Intercept)	-0.2539	0.3969	-0.64	0.5224	
Shell type (Bat–Gra+)	0.261	0.4592	0.568	0.5698	
Shell type (Bat–Gra–)	0.1302	0.4286	0.304	0.7612	
Shell type (Bat–Hermit)	1.1478	0.4543	2.527	0.0115	
Shell type (Bat–Small)	-1.3429	0.7151	-1.878	0.0604	
Shell type (Bed)	0.7068	0.4823	1.465	0.1428	
Shell type (Nas)	-1.7873	0.4553	-3.926	8.65 ⁻⁵	
Salinity (Mid)	1.5086	0.3463	4.356	1.32 ⁻⁵	
Salinity (West)	0.1771	0.4127	0.429	0.6678	
Wave exposure (Protected)	-1.3459	0.2866	-4.696	2.65 ⁻⁶	

Reference

- Thomsen, M. S., Wernberg, T., Tuya, F., and Silliman, B. R. (2010). Ecological performance and possible origin of a ubiquitous but under-studied gastropod. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **87**(4), 501–509.
doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2010.02.014